
Feedback Analysis 2019-2020

## **STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON COURSES Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts**

Academic Year: 2019-2020


Sr.	Parameters	Total			(	Grading	options			
No.		Responses	Very G	ood	Good	i	Satisfact	ory	Averag	ge
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Depth of course content	171	165	96.49	06	3.50	00	00	00	00
2.	Course coverage	171	165	96.49	06	3.50	00	00	00	00
3.	Relevance to real life situations	171	158	92.39	06	3.50	07	4.09	00	00
4.	Learning value	171	160	93.56	06	3.50	05	2.92	00	00
5.	Clarity and applicability of textual reading material	171	170	99.41	01	0.58	00	00	00	00
6.	Availability of relevant source material from the library	171	170	99.41	01	0.58	00	00	00	00



## STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON COURSES Feedback by Final Year Students of Commerce

Academic Year: 2019-2020


Sr.	Parameters	Total			(	3rading	options			
No.		Responses	Very G	ood	Good	l	Satisfact	ory	Averag	ge
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Depth of course content	170	165	97.05	05	2.94	01	0.58	00	00
2.	Course coverage	170	155	91.17	10	5.88	07	4.11	00	00
3.	Relevance to real life situations	170	150	88.23	10	5.88	11	6.47	00	00
4.	Learning value	170	160	94.11	06	3.52	05	2.94	00	00
5.	Clarity and applicability of textual reading material	170	165	97.05	05	2.94	01	0.58	00	00
6.	Availability of relevant source material from the library	170	170	100	00	00	00	00	00	00



## STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON COURSES Feedback by Final Year Students of Science

Academic Year: 2019-2020

Sr.	Parameters	Total			(	Grading	options			
No.		Responses	Very G	ood	Good	i	Satisfact	ory	Averag	ge
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Depth of course content	226	220	97.34	04	1.76	02	0.88	00	00
2.	Course coverage	226	220	97.34	04	1.76	02	0.88	00	00
3.	Relevance to real life situations	226	205	90.70	15	6.63	06	2.65	00	00
4.	Learning value	226	205	90.70	15	6.63	06	2.65	00	00
5.	Clarity and applicability of textual reading material	226	226	100	00	00	00	00	00	00
6.	Availability of relevant source material from the library	226	226	100	00	00	00	00	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

## **Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts (Humanities)**

Academic Year: 2019-2020

#### 1. Department: English and Linguistics

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	Grading	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very Good		Good	i	Satisfactory		Average	
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Shri. V.R. Surve	29	29	100	00	00	00	00	00	00
2.	Dr. S.V. Shinde	29	25	86.20	04	13.80	00	00	00	00
3.	Dr. H.K. Awatade	29	29	100	00	00	00	00	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

## **Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts (Humanities)**

Academic Year: 2019-2020

2. Department: Marathi

#	Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	rading	options			
	No.	Teacher	Responses	Very Go Number	ood %	Good Number	l %	Satisfacto Number	ory %	Averag Number	ge %
	1.	Dr. D.N. Barbole	13	13	100	00	00	00	00	00	00
	2.	Shri. V.B. Suryawanshi	13	13	100	00	00	00	00	00	00
	3.	Dr. J.D. Parkale	13	13	100	00	00	00	00	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

## **Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts (Humanities)**

Academic Year: 2019-2020

**Department: Hindi** 

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	Grading	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very G Number	ood %	Good Number	l %	Satisfacto Number	ory %	Avera Number	ge %
1.	Dr. A.B. Kuchekar	10	10	100	00	00	00	00	00	00
2.	Shri. N.T. Lokhande	10	10	100	00	00	00	00	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts (Humanities)

Academic Year: 2019-2020

#### **Department: History**

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	3rading	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very Go	ood	Good	l	Satisfacto	ory	Averag	ge
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Dr. S.T. Waghmare	55	55	100	00	00	00	00	00	00
2.	Dr. D.G. Magar	55	54	98.19	01	1.81	00	00	00	00
3.	Dr. S.U. <u>Pawar</u>	55	54	98.19	01	1.81	00	00	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

## **Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts (Humanities)**

Academic Year: 2019-2020

#### **Department: Economics**

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	Grading (	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very Go Number	ood %	Good Number	d %	Satisfacto Number	ory %	Averag Number	ge %
1.	Dr. D.S. <u>Bagade</u>	32	32	100	00	00	00	00	00	00
2.	Dr. A.S. Nalawade	32	30	93.75	02	06.25	00	00	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

## **Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts (Humanities)**

Academic Year: 2019-2020

## **Department: Geography**

Sr.	Name of the	Tota1			(	Frading	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very G		Good		Satisfacto	_	Averag	
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Dr. S.N. Gujar	32	32	100	00	00	00	00	00	00
2.	Dr. C.V. Tate	32	32	100	00	00	00	00	00	00
3.	Dr. C.B. Londhe	32	30	93.75	02	6.25	00	00	00	00
4.	Mrs. S.S. Patil	32	30	93.75	01	3.12	01	3.12	00	00
5.	Prof. R.L. Sonawane	32	30	93.75	01	3.12	01	3.12	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

#### **Feedback by Final Year Students of Commerce**

Academic Year: 2019-2020

Faculty: Commerce and Management Department : Commerce

Sr.	Name of the	Total			C	rading	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very G	ood	Good	l	Satisfacto	ory	Averag	ge
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Dr. R.L. <u>Gaikwad</u>	170	165	97.05	05	2.95	00	00	00	00
2.	Dr. B.S. <u>Salunkhe</u>	170	165	97.05	05	2.95	00	00	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

Feedback by Final Year Students of Science

Academic Year: 2019-2020

## **Department: Chemistry**

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	3rading	options			
No.	Teacher	Response	Very G		Good		Satisfacto	•	Averag	
		S	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Dr. L.V. Nimbalkar	57	55	96.49	02	03.51	00	00	00	00
2.	Dr. R.K. Patil	57	55	96.49	02	03.51	00	00	00	00
3.	Mrs. D.R. Kamble	57	53	92.98	04	07.02	00	00	00	00
4.	Dr. S.S. <u>Deokar</u>	57	53	92.98	04	07.02	00	00	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

Feedback by Final Year Students of Science

Academic Year: 2019-2020

### **Department: Physics**

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	3rading	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very G		Good		Satisfacto	ory	Averag	
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Dr. B.R. Karche	30	30	100	00	00	00	00	00	00
2.	Dr. C.S. Pawar	30	29	96.66	01	3.34	00	00	00	00
3.	Dr. A.R. Babar	30	29	96.66	01	3.34	00	00	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

Feedback by Final Year Students of Science

Academic Year: 2019-2020

### **Department: Electronics**

Sr. No.	Name of the Teacher	_ Total	Grading options									
		Response s	Very Good		Good		Satisfactory		Average			
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%		
1.	Shri. R.H. Kumbhar	34	34	100	00	00	00	00	00	00		
2.	Dr. S.K. Tilekar	34	34	100	00	00	00	00	00	00		
3.	Shri. P.A. Lohar	34	34	100	00	00	00	00	00	00		
4.	Mrs. A.S. Relekar	34	34	100	00	00	00	00	00	00		



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

Feedback by Final Year Students of Science

Academic Year: 2019-2020

**Department: Zoology** 

Sr. No.	Name of the Teacher	Total			(	Grading	options						
		Responses	Very Go Number	ood %	Good Number	i %	Satisfacto Number	ory %	Average Number	ge %			
1.	Dr. S.H. <u>Bhosale</u>	27	27	100	00	00	00	00	00	00			
2.	Dr. S.G. Bansode	27	27	100	00	00	00	00	00	00			



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

Feedback by Final Year Students of Science

Academic Year: 2019-2020

**Department: Botany** 

Sr.	Name of the	Total	Grading options										
No.	Teacher	Responses			Good		Satisfacto		Averag				
			Number	%	Number	<b>%</b>	Number	<b>%</b>	Number	%			
1.	Shri. R.L. Savalajkar	45	45	100	00	00	00	00	00	00			
2.	Shri. S.U. Shinde	45	45	100	00	00	00	00	00	00			
3.	Mrs. S.M. Satpute	45	45	100	00	00	00	00	00	00			

