
Feedback Analysis 2015-2016

## **STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON COURSES Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts**

Academic Year: 2015-2016


Sr.	Parameters	Tota1			(	Grading	options			
No.		Responses	Very G		Good		Satisfact		Averag	
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Depth of course content	203	160	78.81	41	20.19	02	0.98	00	00
2.	Course coverage	203	168	82.75	30	14.77	05	02.46	00	00
3.	Relevance to real life situations	203	172	84.72	20	09.85	11	05.41	00	00
4.	Learning value	203	170	83.74	22	10.83	11	05.41	00	00
5.	Clarity and applicability of textual reading material	203	182	89.65	18	08.86	03	01.47	00	00
6.	Availability of relevant source material from the library	203	200	98.52	00	00	03	01.47	00	00



## STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON COURSES Feedback by Final Year Students of Commerce

Academic Year: 2015-2016


Sr.	Parameters	_ Total			(	Grading	options			
No.		Responses	Very G	ood	Good	i	Satisfact	ory	Averag	ge
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Depth of course content	96	72	75.00	20	20.83	04	04.16	00	00
2.	Course coverage	96	78	81.25	10	10.41	08	08.33	00	00
3.	Relevance to real life situations	96	68	70.83	26	27.08	02	02.08	00	00
4.	Learning value	96	65	67.70	28	29.16	03	03.12	00	00
5.	Clarity and applicability of textual reading material	96	70	72.91	20	20.83	06	06.25	00	00
6.	Availability of relevant source material from the library	96	96	100	00	00	00	00	00	00



## STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON COURSES Feedback by Final Year Students of Science

Academic Year: 2015-2016

Sr.	Parameters	Tota1	Grading options							
No.		Responses	Very G	ood	Good	l	Satisfacto	ory	Averag	ge
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Depth of course content	216	173	80.09	27	12.50	16	07.40	00	00
2.	Course coverage	216	160	74.07	42	19.44	14	06.48	00	00
3.	Relevance to real life situations	216	162	75.00	38	17.59	16	07.40	00	00
4.	Learning value	216	178	82.40	24	11.12	14	06.48	00	00
5.	Clarity and applicability of textual reading material	216	202	93.51	14	06.48	00	00	00	00
б.	Availability of relevant source material from the library	216	216	100	00	00	00	00	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

#### **Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts (Humanities)**

Academic Year: 2015-2016

#### 1. Department: English and Linguistics

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	Grading	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very G	ood	Good	i	Satisfacto	ory	Avera	ge
		•	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Dr. R.M. Ingale	38	30	78.94	06	15.78	02	05.26	00	00
2.	Prof. V.R. Surve	38	28	73.68	07	18.42	03	07.89	00	00
3.	Dr. S.V. Shinde	38	28	73.68	07	18.42	03	07.89	00	00
4.	Dr. H.K. <u>Awatade</u>	38	28	73.68	07	18.42	03	07.89	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

### **Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts (Humanities)**

Academic Year: 2015-2016

#### 2. Department: Marathi

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	Grading	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very G		Good		Satisfacto		Averag	
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Dr. D.N. Barbole	23	18	78.26	03	13.04	02	08.69	00	00
2.	Prof. V.B. Suryawanshi	23	17	73.91	03	1304	03	13.04	00	00
3.	Prof. J.D. Parkale	23	17	73.91	03	13.04	03	13.04	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

#### **Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts (Humanities)**

Academic Year: 2015-2016

**Department: Hindi** 

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	3rading	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very G Number	ood %	Good Number	l %	Satisfacto Number	ory %	Averaş Number	ge %
1.	Prof. Dr. (Mrs.) A.B. <u>Kuchekar</u>	20	16	80.00	02	10.00	02	10.00	00	00
2.	Prof. N.T. Lokhande	20	17	85.00	02	10.00	01	05.00	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

#### **Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts (Humanities)**

Academic Year: 2015-2016

**Department: History** 

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	3rading (	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very G		Good		Satisfact		Averag	
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Prof. S.T. Waghmare	60	42	70.00	12	20.00	06	10.00	00	00
2.	Dr. D.G. Magar	60	38	63.33	16	26.66	06	10.00	00	00
3.	Prof. S.U. Pawar	60	38	63.33	16	26.66	06	10.00	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

#### **Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts (Humanities)**

Academic Year: 2015-2016

#### **Department: Economics**

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	Grading (	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very Go Number	ood %	Good Number	l %	Satisfacto Number	ory %	Averag Number	ge %
1.	Prof. Dr. D.S. Bagade	48	32	66.66	10	20.83	06	12.50	00	00
2.	Dr. A.S. <u>Nalawade</u>	48	28	58.33	12	25.00	08	16.66	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

### **Feedback by Final Year Students of Arts (Humanities)**

Academic Year: 2015-2016

#### **Department: Geography**

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	Grading	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very Go	ood	Good	i	Satisfacto	ory	Averag	ge
		-	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Prof. S.N. Gujar	30	22	73.33	06	20.00	02	06.66	0	0
2.	Prof. C.V. Tate	30	22	73.33	04	13.33	04	13.33	0	0
3.	Prof. Dr. C.B. Londhe	30	20	66.66	04	13.33	06	20.00	0	0
4.	Prof.(Mrs.) S.S. Patil	30	20	66.66	06	20.00	04	13.33	0	0
5.	Prof. R.L. Sonawane	30	20	66.66	05	16.66	05	16.66	0	0



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

#### **Feedback by Final Year Students of Commerce**

Academic Year: 2015-2016

Faculty: Commerce and Management Department: Commerce

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	Grading (	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very Go Number	ood %	Good Number	i %	Satisfacto Number	ory %	Averag Number	ge %
1.	Dr. R.L. <u>Gaikwad</u>	140	120	85.71	14	10.00	06	04.28	00	00
2.	Dr. B.S. Salunkhe	140	115	82.14	19	13.57	06	04.28	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

**Feedback by Final Year Students of Science** 

Academic Year: 2015-2016

#### **Department: Chemistry**

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	Grading	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very G		Good		Satisfacto	•	Averag	
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Dr. L.V. <u>Nimbalkar</u>	55	43	78.18	10	18.18	02	03.63	00	00
2.	Dr. T.M. Shilimkar	55	42	76.36	08	14.54	05	09.09	00	00
3.	Prof. Dr. R.K. Patil	55	42	76.36	06	10.90	07	12.72	00	00
4.	Prof.(Mrs.) D.R. Kamble	55	40	72.72	09	16.36	06	10.90	00	00
5.	Prof. S.S. Deokar	55	40	72.72	09	16.36	06	10.90	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

Feedback by Final Year Students of Science

Academic Year: 2015-2016

#### **Department: Physics**

Sr.	Name of the	Total			(	rading	options			
No.	Teacher	Responses	Very G	ood	Good	l	Satisfacto	ory	Averag	ge
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1.	Dr. B.R. Karche	40	30	75.00	08	20.00	02	05.00	00	00
2.	Prof. L.N. Bansode	40	30	75.00	06	15.00	04	10.00	00	00
3.	Dr. C.S. Pawar	40	28	70.00	08	20.00	04	10.00	00	00
4.	Dr. A.R. Babar	40	27	67.50	08	20.00	05	12.50	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

Feedback by Final Year Students of Science

Academic Year: 2015-2016

#### **Department: Electronics**

Sr. No.	Name of the Teacher	Total	Grading options											
		Responses	Very Good Number %		Good Number %		Satisfactory Number %		Average Number %					
1.	Dr. B.P. Ladgaonkar	19	16	84.21	02	10.52	01	05.26	00	00				
2.	Prof. R.H. Kumbhar	19	16	84.21	02	10.52	01	05.26	00	00				
3.	Dr. S.K. <u>Tilekar</u>	19	16	84.21	03	15.78	00	00	00	00				
4.	Prof. P.A. Lohar	19	15	78.94	03	15.78	01	05.26	00	00				
5.	Prof.(Miss.) A.S. Relekar	19	14	73.68	04	21.05	01	05.26	00	00				



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

#### **Feedback by Final Year Students of Science**

Academic Year: 2015-2016

#### **Department: Zoology**

Sr. No.	Name of the Teacher	Total	Grading options							
		Responses	Very Good Number %		Good Number %		Satisfactory Number %		Average Number %	
1.	Prof. B.N. Ghorpade	34	22	64.70	10	29.41	02	05.88	00	00
2.	Dr. A.C. Kumbhar	34	22	64.70	08	23.52	04	11.76	00	00
3.	Dr. S.H. Bhosale	34	22	64.70	08	23.52	04	11.76	00	00
4.	Dr. (Mrs.) S.G. Bansode	34	22	64.70	06	17.64	06	17.64	00	00



#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

(Depth of Knowledge, Teaching Quality, Punctuality and Overall Impact)

### **Feedback by Final Year Students of Science**

Academic Year: 2015-2016

**Department: Botany** 

Sr. No.	Name of the Teacher	Total			(	Grading	options							
		Responses	Very Good		Good		Satisfactory		Average					
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%				
1.	Prof. D.N. Kutwal	35	25	71.42	08	22.85	02	05.71	00	00				
2.	Prof. R.L. Savalajkar	35	24	68.57	09	25.71	02	05.71	00	00				
3.	Prof. S.U. Shinde	35	24	68.57	06	17.14	05	14.28	00	00				
4.	Prof. S.M. Satpute	35	22	62.85	07	20.00	06	17.14	00	00				

